

**RIVER RIDGE**  
**COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT**  
**DISTRICT**

**REGULAR MEETING**  
**AGENDA**

**December 15, 2009**

**River Ridge Community Development District**  
**6131 Lyons Road, Suite 100 • Coconut Creek, Florida 33073**  
**Phone (954) 426-2105 • Fax (954) 426-2147 • Toll-free: (877) 276-0889**

December 9, 2009

Board of Supervisors  
River Ridge Community Development District

Dear Board Members:

A Regular Meeting of the River Ridge Community Development District's Board of Supervisors will be held on **Tuesday, December 15, 2009 at 1:00 p.m., in the Waterside Room at the Golf Club, 4561 Pelican Sound Boulevard, Estero, Florida 33928.** The agenda is as follows:

1. Call to Order/Roll Call
2. Discussion: Quality Assurance Checklist for Roads Owned by District
3. Discussion: Possibility of Pelican Sound Receiving an Easement Through 65 Feet of River Ridge Property for Access Into and Out of the Koreshan Property
4. Approval of **November 19, 2009** Regular Meeting Minutes
5. Other Business
6. Staff Reports
  - a. Attorney
  - b. Engineer
  - c. Manager
    - i. Unaudited Financial Statements as of November 30, 2009
    - ii. **NEXT MEETING DATE: January 27, 2010 at 3:00 P.M.**
  - d. Grounds Committee
  - e. Entry Enhancement Committee
7. Audience Comments/Supervisors' Requests
8. Adjournment

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me directly at 239-464-7114.

Sincerely,



Chesley E. Adams, Jr.  
District Manager

CA:dg

For Board Members and Staff unable to attend in person, a toll-free call-in number of **1-888-354-0094** has been established.

Please input the conference ID of **8593810#**. You will be placed on hold until the moderator calls in and all parties are joined on the same line.

# River Ridge CDD

## Roadways

### Quality Assurance Detailed Plan

#### Background

The River Ridge CDD owns the majority of the roadway system within the Pelican Sound Community. The system consists of roads, valley gutters, catch basins, sidewalks, lighting, signage and pavement markings. Pursuant to a Facilities Maintenance Agreement, between The Pelican Sound Golf and River Club and CDD, the Club operates and maintains the system.

#### Purpose

To create a formal routinely scheduled review process whereby the Club, as the operator, and the CDD, as the owner, clearly and jointly, identify service level expectations, routinely monitor for success and deficiency, and augment the operating program as necessary.

#### Scope

Semi Annual of the entire system with preparation, submittal and presentation of an audit report to the CDD Board of Supervisors.

#### Audit Participants (as a minimum)

- CDD Management
- CDD Engineer
- Club Management

#### Audit/Report Preparer

- CDD Management

#### Audit Schedule

1. Field Review first week of January, report presented to CDD Board at January meeting

#### Audit Report

The Audit report shall include (as a minimum)

- Evaluation sheet for each street
- At least one photo from predetermined photo station for EACH street (length of road)
- Comparative photo from previous audit for EACH lake and wetland
- Photo documentation of significant areas of concern
- Narrative summary report highlighting the results of the audit, priority action items and recommendations

## Quality Check Points

Quality check points are to include, but not be limited to, the following:

### Pavement

- Is there cracking greater than 1" in depth or longer than 1' in length?
- Is there ponding or depressions greater than ½" in depth?
- Are there areas of loose aggregate or weakened binder?
- Are there previous patches? If so, is the structural integrity in tact?
- Are there potholes present?
- Are there any instances of vegetation encroaching into the sidewalk travel way (encroaching from the side or lower than 14' in the instance of an overhanging tree branch and as required by Estero Fire Department).

### Pavement Markings

- Are pavement markings existing per approved plans?
- Are the pavement markings in a suitable condition (no excessive wear/proper reflectivity quality)

### Signage

- Is the existing signage located per the approved plans?
- Is the existing signage stating the proper message per the approved plans (speed limits etc)?
- Is the existing signage in a DOT approved form (proper colors/size etc)?
- Is the existing signage at the proper heights?
- Does the existing signage meet DOT reflectivity specifications?

### Gutters/Curbing/Sidewalks

- Are the gutters/curbing/sidewalks showing signs of excessive ponding?
- Are the gutters/curbing/sidewalks in good structural condition (no excessive cracking/separation/loose concrete)
- Are there any locations of a "lip" exceeding ¾" at any point along the sidewalk travel way (create tripping hazard and ADA compliance issue)?
- Are there any instances of vegetation encroaching into the sidewalk travel way (encroaching from the side or lower than 8' in the instance of an overhanging tree branch and to accommodate bike riders)?

### Catch Basins

- Are the catch basins in good structural condition (no excessive cracking, loose concrete, joint separation etc)?
- Is the ring and cover assembly properly seated in place?
- Is there garbage present inside the catch basin?
- Is there excessive sedimentation in the bottom of the catch basin (exceeding 6" in depth)?

### Streetlighting

- Are there any structural issues with the streetlight poles (ie cracking, corrosion, loose hardware, broken lens etc)
- Is the finish on the poles in good condition (no excessive oxidation or staining)
- Are there any safety issues with streetlight poles or control boxes (ie, missing access covers on poles, exposed wiring, severely corroded control boxes, unsecured control boxes etc)
- Are the streetlight lens in good condition (no excessive oxidation, staining or cracking)

### Line of sight

- Is the roadside vegetation being maintained in compliance with DOT line of sight requirements.

DRAFT

**PHOTO DOCUMENTATION REQUIRED**

All Audit Check Points answered "No" require Additional Comments/Information  
 Additional Comments/Information

Date: / /  
 Street name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Audit Check Points**

**Pavement:**

	YES	NO
Is any observed cracking less than 1" in depth or 1' in length?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is any observed ponding or depressions less than 1/2" in depth?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the pavement area absent of loose aggregate or weakened binder?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the pavement area absent of patches?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the structural integrity of any patches in tact?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the pavement area absent of any potholes?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the pavement area absent of any potholes?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the pavement area absent of any vegetation encroaching upon it from the sides?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the pavement area absent of any tree limbs lower than 14'?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Pavement Markings:**

Are the existing pavement area markings in place per the approved plans?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the existing pavement markings in a suitable condition and per DOT specs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Signage:**

Is the existing signage located per the approved plans?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the signage stating the proper message per the approved plans?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the existing signage in a DOT approved form?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the existing signage at the DOT specified heights?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Does the existing signage meet DOT reflectivity specifications?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8

**MINUTES OF MEETING  
RIVER RIDGE  
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT**

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

A Regular Meeting of the River Ridge Community Development District's Board of Supervisors was held on **Thursday, November 19, 2009 at 3:00 p.m., in the Riverside Room at the River Club, 4788 Pelican Sound Boulevard, Estero, Florida 33928.**

17  
18

**Present at the meeting were:**

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

Richard J. Costabile (via telephone)	Chair
Terry Mountford	Vice Chair
Bob Schultz	Assistant Secretary
George Schoenheider	Assistant Secretary
Rusty Demeules	Assistant Secretary

27  
28

**Also present were:**

29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

Chuck Adams	District Manager
Cleo Crismond	Assistant Regional Manager
Tony Pires	District Counsel
Charlie Krebs	District Engineer
Bill Brearly	PSGRC
Jim Whitmore	General Manager
Renee Tiefenbach	PSGRC Property Manager

37  
38

**FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS**

39  
40

**Call to Order/Roll Call**

Ms. Crismond called the meeting to order at 3:00 p.m., and noted, for the record, that all Supervisors were present at Roll Call, with Mr. Costabile attending via telephone.

41  
42

**SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS**

43  
44  
45

**Discussion: Quality Assurance Checklist for Roads Owned by District**

46  
47

Ms. Crismond stated the next item is further discussion of the Quality Assurance Checklist for Roads Owned by the District.

48  
49  
50

Mr. Adams indicated, at the last meeting, the Board discussed moving forward into the next area in which the District wants to apply the Quality Assurance Checklist. He noted Mr. Krebs was on vacation and ran out of time in putting together the specifications the Board

41 wanted. For this reason, it is not completed for today’s meeting, but will be ready for the  
42 Board’s review at the December meeting.

43 Mr. Krebs referred to another project where, per DOT’s suggestions, “nondestructive  
44 testing” is being done. He indicated he did not know if that was the level to which the Board  
45 wanted in an inspection; or if they just want a visual inspection. Mr. Adams felt the District was  
46 looking at a visual inspection and, to the extent that something was found that did not meet the  
47 test or was of concern, then going forward with taking the next step, at that point. Mr. Adams  
48 summarized that the idea is to do a routine visual first, not a structural turnover approach.

49 A Board Member asked if individual repairs are still the responsibility of Pelican Sound  
50 and gave an example of something he saw. Mr. Adams replied affirmatively and explained the  
51 repair process. The Board Member asked who is going to do the road inspections. Mr. Adams  
52 stated it would be Ms. Crismond or himself, the Engineer and a Pelican Sound Golf and River  
53 Club representative will also be invited.

54 Mr. Adams reiterated the checklist should be ready for the Board’s consideration at their  
55 December meeting and the inspection could probably be completed in January.

56

57 **THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Consideration of Professional Services  
Supplemental Agreement No. 008 from  
Johnson Engineering, Inc., for NPDES  
Year 6 Annual Report**

60

61 Ms. Crismond introduced the Professional Services Supplemental Agreement No. 008  
62 from Johnson Engineering, Inc., for the NPDES Year 6 Annual Report.

63 Mr. Adams indicated this is essentially the same contract the District has entered into  
64 with Johnson Engineering, year after year, for the NPDES Annual Report requirements. He  
65 stated the contract anticipates a fee of \$15,000, plus a \$1,500 reimbursable expense item, for a  
66 total of \$16,500, with the reimbursable items being actual cost. He noted, for this fiscal year, the  
67 District budgeted \$25,000 for this item.

68 Mr. Adams explained that DEP was delayed in updating their parameters for the next five  
69 (5)-year permit period. For this reason, DEP extended the same parameters for the current five  
70 (5)-year permit for one (1) more year, making this Year 6 of a five (5)-year permit. Mr. Adams  
71 explained some reasons for the delay, relating to DEP and the EPA, along with the impact to the  
72 District, should the issues not be resolved.

73

74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108

**On MOTION by Mr. Demeules and seconded by Mr. Schoenheider, with all in favor of approving the Professional Services Supplemental Agreement No. 008 from Johnson Engineering, Inc., for NPDES Year 6 Annual Report, as presented.**

**FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Request from Edgewater 1 Condominium Board of Directors for Joint Meeting with Pelican Sound GRC and River Ridge CDD to Discuss and Resolve the Problems of the Lake Behind Edgewater 1 Buildings**

Mr. Schultz indicated the Grounds Committee addressed this issue at their last meeting. He summarized the Grounds Committee’s interpretation, indicating the issues raised, break down into two (2) parts. First, being the condition, appearance and maintenance of the lake water and complaints about algae. The Club will review their contractor’s performance and logs to ensure they are addressing lake quality, as required by their contract specifications. Mr. Schultz indicated the second problem relates to the condition of the lake bank, adjacent to Edgewater 1, and the drainage from that property, under the bank and into the lake. He stated the Club and the HOA will review the drainage system and repair where required. He noted the drainage currently dumps at the edge of the sod and piping needs to be extended down into the lake water levels to help alleviate erosion issues. He concluded, once corrected, then the bank needs to be restored to its proper slopes and elevations. Once the bank has been restored, the next phase is to develop a preservation system, so the bank will stay in place.

Mr. Schoenheider asked if there are currently gutters, along Edgewater, to collect the water and focus it out in a specific area. Mr. Schultz indicated partially, explaining there is guttering on the buildings in the main entrance areas.

Mr. Schoenheider noted last year, at the request of residents, the bull rush was removed, different vegetation was planted and half of the bull rush was left in on the other side. The question was raised about bull rush spreading. Ms. Crismond indicated if it is not sprayed-out, it can grow back. She said it will need to be an ongoing maintenance event to keep the bull rush from coming back. Mr. Adams stated the bull rush is a beneficial plant, but it can be very aggressive.

109 Mr. Brearily asked about shoring the bank, as opposed to dredging the material that was  
110 silted into the bank, and re-form the slopes. Mr. Adams asked Mr. Krebs if any of the banks  
111 have large enough washouts for that to be a consideration or concern. Mr. Krebs said no, adding  
112 more time would be spent trying to dredge material out, as the main water line has not changed  
113 much, so it should not be an issue.

114 A Board Member asked how algae is controlled. Ms. Tiefenbach stated it is sprayed and  
115 then it turns brown and drops to the bottom. She indicated she followed up with the contractor  
116 and confirmed they have serviced properly and there is no problem with the bubbler.

117 Mr. Schultz concluded that the Grounds Committee will continue to work on this matter  
118 and bring it back to the Board for any necessary decisions or funding.

119

120 **FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Approval of October 27, 2009 Regular Meeting Minutes**

121  
122

123 Ms. Crismond presented the October 27, 2009 Regular Meeting Minutes and asked if  
124 there were any additions, corrections or deletions. The following changes were made:

125 Line 108: Add "Ernst, at WCI" after "Barry"

126 Line 112: Insert "Adams" after "Karen"

127 Line 117: Insert "(SFWMD)" after "District"

128 Lines 130 and 132: Strike "the District" and replace with "SFWMD"

129 Line 140: Strike "South Florida" and replace with "SFWMD"

130 Line 140: Strike "the District" and replace with "CDD"

131 Line 141: Strike "the District" and replace with "SFWMD"

132 Line 145: Strike "the District" and replace with "CDD"

133 Line 169: Replace "Mr. Schultz" with "Mr. Costabile"

134

**On MOTION by Mr. Schultz and seconded by Mr. Schoenheider, with all in favor of approving the October 27, 2009 Regular Meeting Minutes, as corrected.**

135  
136  
137

138

139

140 **SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Other Business**

141

142 Mr. Jim Whitmore, General Manager, indicated there is a large pond in the center of The  
143 Masters, with a fountain. He said he was approached by a resident asking who they should  
144 contact to put a light on the fountain. Mr. Schultz indicated the fountain is only turned on from  
145 about 10:00 a.m., until 6:00 p.m., so it does not make sense to install a light.

146 **\*\*\*Mr. Costabile left the meeting.\*\*\***

147

148 **SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Staff Reports**

149

150 **a. Attorney**

151 Mr. Pires gave a brief update on the Traffic Enforcement Agreement, indicating the Lee  
152 County Attorney's office has referred it to the Sheriff's Department's legal counsel for review as  
153 to the description of the roads that would be subject to the Traffic Enforcement Agreement. To  
154 date, he has not heard back from the County Attorney's office, nor have they heard back from  
155 the Sheriff Department's counsel.

156 Mr. Pires noted, typically the exhibit references the road. In this case there was a little  
157 variation because one (1) segment on Pelican Sound Boulevard, coming into River Club, is not  
158 owned by the District.

159 Mr. Mountford indicated he is not sure there is interest from the Club regarding  
160 enforcement and wondered if the purpose was to determine the signs and speed limits the District  
161 should have. Mr. Brearly felt this is a prelude, or one (1) of the steps in a multi-step process, to  
162 give law enforcement the capability to come into the Pelican Sound part of River Ridge and issue  
163 citations for violations of the traffic laws of the state.

164 Mr. Mountford voiced his concern on two (2) issues; first, the District wanted to be sure  
165 the stop signs were in compliance and correct and second, there does not seem to be much  
166 enthusiasm around the community regarding bringing in law enforcement. Mr. Adams noted the  
167 inviting of law enforcement into the community was to be on an agreement basis and, in order  
168 for them to do that, DOT was to come in and complete an analysis, which is free of charge. It  
169 was stated that the District must sign the agreement prior to DOT's analysis and then the District  
170 is obligated to make any suggested adjustments necessary to enable law enforcement to enforce  
171 the traffic laws.

172 A question was raised that if the Club wants to install a stop sign or speed bumps, should  
173 this be done prior to the analysis, or at least be on plans so the inspectors can review everything

174 at once. Mr. Krebs felt, prior to installing speed bumps, permitting through the county might be  
175 required. He referred to the District having a list of requests that could be considered. Mr.  
176 Whitmore stated he has not received very much feedback in support of this, especially regarding  
177 speed bumps.

178 Mr. Pires spoke briefly about possible liability, to the District, regarding the illegal use of  
179 golf carts on the roads, if an accident occurs. He noted the District may find itself liable, if it  
180 knew this was happening and did not take any action to stop it. Mr. Brearly asked about golf  
181 carts that are “street legal”. Mr. Pires indicated golf carts are not allowed, as a matter of law, to  
182 operate on District roads. He clarified that, if the “street legal” golf carts are defined as a motor  
183 vehicle, under Florida law, they are not prohibited and have the right to operate on the roads.  
184 Discussion ensued regarding the difference between golf carts and “street legal” golf carts,  
185 Florida law regarding the usage of golf carts on roads, dangers of the “street legal” carts on both  
186 the golf course and regular roads and what some other communities are doing regarding this  
187 matter. It was mentioned that the new “street legal” golf carts may not be allowable on the golf  
188 course.

189 **b. Engineer**

190 There being no report, the next item followed.

191 **c. Manager**

192 **i. Unaudited Financial Statements as of October 31, 2009**

193 Ms. Crismond presented the Unaudited Financial Statements as of October 31, 2009. In  
194 reference to Mr. Schultz’s question last month about disappearance of the street sweeping line  
195 item expense from August to September, Mr. Adams indicated it came out of the street sweeping  
196 line and ended up in the contingencies line above it. Basically, street sweeping was not  
197 budgeted, so it was put in a line with a budgeted amount. Mr. Adams indicated street sweeping  
198 is done once every other week. He noted it is not a requirement, but it is looked on favorably  
199 when the District submits its NPDES file and files its annual reports, because it is another  
200 measure of proactive water quality improvement in a section of roadway that the District actually  
201 owns and operates. Mr. Adams said the District could cut back to once per month and the Board  
202 was in agreement. Mr. Adams indicated that change would be made immediately.

203 **ii. Revised Fiscal Year 2010 Meeting Schedule**

204 Ms. Crismond presented the Revised Fiscal Year 2010 Meeting Schedule.

205 • NEXT MEETING DATE: December 15, 2009 at 1:00 P.M.

206 Ms. Crismond indicated the next meeting is December 15, 2009 at 1:00 p.m. Mr. Adams  
207 noted he will not be able to attend the December meeting, due to a scheduling conflict with a  
208 another CDD meeting in Leesburg.

209 d. Grounds Committee

210 Regarding Edgewater, Mr. Schultz spoke of trying to keep residents out of the  
211 Conservation/Preserve areas. He indicated the suggestion was to have the Club look at  
212 requesting or paying Aquagenix to remove the golf balls when they are in the areas doing their  
213 spraying and etc. Ms. Tiefenbach indicated she asked Aquagenix about this and will follow up  
214 on the request, but it really is not what they are there to do. Mr. Schultz indicated residents  
215 should be reminded that they are not allowed to enter those areas.

216 e. Entry Enhancement Committee

217 Mr. Demeules noted, thanks to Mr. Mountford and Ms. Crismond, the entry has been  
218 cleaned up and is presentable. He indicated the first meeting for this fall is scheduled for next  
219 week, so the Committee’s report will be in December or January. Ms. Crismond felt, after the  
220 meeting, there would be much more to report to the Board at the next meeting. She indicated the  
221 Board approved the Hole Montes contract in October and they will present everything on  
222 Tuesday and the Committee will review it. She felt progress is being made. Ms. Crismond said  
223 many methods of cleaning the tiles were tried and the end result was sanding down and painting  
224 only the tile that can be seen. A question was raised about lights being out; this will be checked  
225 on.

226

227 EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Audience Comments/Supervisors’  
228 Requests  
229

230 Mr. Brearly stated, regarding the dry detention areas, he is receiving pressure from  
231 members on his street asking what the District is going to do. He indicated the members are  
232 aware that there is a need to address these areas, so he feels the Board needs to be prepared and  
233 tell the members there is a problem and the District will need to do something. A Board  
234 Member indicated this relates to the whole dry detention area. Mr. Brearly said if the District is  
235 going to talk to the members, it needs to talk to all of them. He noted, to do this, maps and an  
236 understanding of what will take place would be necessary.

237           Mr. Krebs will continue to monitor communication between WCI and the county. WCI  
238 seems committed to doing the road, but is emerging from bankruptcy. He indicated, if they  
239 receive any more letters, he will forward them to Barry Ernst, of WCI, and ask him about the  
240 status. Mr. Schultz indicated it appears, on development orders and permits, where they still  
241 have obligations, WCI will comply as required. Mr. Krebs stated every indication, at this point,  
242 is that WCI will work towards solving any issues, but with no timeframe being given.  
243 Discussion ensued regarding getting WCI to comply and whether the District has any liability.  
244 Mr. Pires felt it is not the District's liability.

245           Mr. Krebs indicated, once he gets the mitigation report to SFWMD, he would call them  
246 to see if they are content with where they are, with WCI coming in. The initial conversation  
247 was, once the issue was resolved, SFWMD was not looking at holding up any further permitting,  
248 so long as they know someone is progressing on transferring and certifying the permits and  
249 getting them cleaned up.

250           Mr. Pires clarified the definition of a golf cart, according to Florida Statutes, as a motor  
251 vehicle that cannot go more than 20 miles per hour (mph). The definition of a low speed vehicle,  
252 that is electric, is a motor vehicle that goes between 20 and 25 mph. He noted, operators for low  
253 speed vehicles must have a driver's license and they can be operated on roads with a speed limit  
254 of 35 mph or less. All of the District's roads have a speed limit of 35 mph, or less.

255

256 **NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Adjournment**

257

258           The meeting was adjourned at 4:23 p.m.

259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265

---

Secretary/Assistant Secretary

---

Chair/Vice Chair

**RIVER RIDGE  
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT  
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
UNAUDITED  
NOVEMBER 30, 2009**

**RIVER RIDGE  
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT  
BALANCE SHEET  
GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS  
NOVEMBER 30, 2009**

	<u>Balance</u>
<b>ASSETS</b>	
Cash	<u>\$ 78,226</u>
Total assets	<u><u>\$ 78,226</u></u>
<b>LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE</b>	
<b>Liabilities</b>	<u>\$ -</u>
Total liabilities	<u>-</u>
<b>Fund Balance</b>	
Unreserved, undesignated	<u>78,226</u>
Total fund balance	<u><u>78,226</u></u>
Total liabilities and fund balance	<u><u>\$ 78,226</u></u>

**RIVER RIDGE  
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT  
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,  
AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES - GENERAL FUND  
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED NOVEMBER 30, 2009**

	Current Month	Year to Date	Budget	% of Budget
<b>REVENUES</b>				
Assessment levy	\$ 52,793	\$ 52,793	\$ 341,282	15%
Interest & miscellaneous income	12	33	1,250	3%
Total revenues	<u>52,805</u>	<u>52,826</u>	<u>342,532</u>	15%
<b>EXPENDITURES</b>				
<b>Administrative</b>				
Supervisor fees	861	1,938	12,918	15%
Management/accounting	4,118	8,235	49,412	17%
Audit fees	-	-	9,000	0%
Special assessment preparation	-	-	6,500	0%
Legal fees	-	-	10,000	0%
Line of credit fee	-	-	2,500	0%
Engineering	-	-	15,000	0%
Engineering fees - irrigation study	-	-	5,000	0%
Telephone	33	67	400	17%
Postage	114	127	1,500	8%
Insurance	-	5,600	6,000	93%
Printing & binding	63	125	750	17%
Legal advertising	511	511	3,000	17%
Office expenses & supplies	-	-	500	0%
Contingencies	124	182	5,000	4%
Subscriptions & memberships	-	175	200	88%
Meeting room	-	-	500	0%
Website maintenance	-	-	535	0%
NPDES program	-	-	25,000	0%
Total administrative	<u>5,824</u>	<u>16,960</u>	<u>153,715</u>	11%
<b>Field Services</b>				
Other contractual - field management				
Q & A	-	-	5,000	0%
Committee	-	-	5,000	0%
Other contractual - wetland 9 maintenance	-	-	23,000	0%
Contingencies	-	-	2,500	0%
Electricity	96	318	6,000	5%
Water & irrigation	-	23	750	3%
Other contractual	420	420	50,000	1%
Street lighting	-	-	3,000	0%
Plant replacement	-	-	15,000	0%
Rentals & leases	3,683	7,366	44,197	17%
Aquascaping	-	-	30,000	0%
Total field services	<u>4,199</u>	<u>8,127</u>	<u>184,447</u>	4%
<b>Other fees and charges</b>				
Property appraiser	1,573	1,573	1,748	90%
Tax collector	1,156	1,156	2,622	44%
	<u>2,729</u>	<u>2,729</u>	<u>4,370</u>	62%
Total expenditures	<u>12,752</u>	<u>27,816</u>	<u>342,532</u>	8%
Net change in fund balances	40,053	25,010	-	
Fund balances - beginning	38,173	53,216	33,290	
Fund Balances - ending	<u>\$ 78,226</u>	<u>\$ 78,226</u>	<u>\$ 33,290</u>	